From:
To:
Planning

**Subject:** FW: Correction RE: EH Comments in respect of RU.22/0776

**Date:** 07 December 2022 15:28:05

Please treat as the consultion response from Environmental Health (noise)

From:

**Sent:** 07 December 2022 14:40

To:

**Subject:** EH Comments in respect of RU.22/0776

## RUNNYMEDE BOROUGH COUNCIL - INTERNAL MEMORANDUM CONSULTATION ON PLANNING APPLICATION

Planning Ref: RU.22/0776 Date: 07/12/2022

From:

To: Planning

Proposal: Industrial redevelopment to provide x3 units within Classes E(g)ii (Research and development), E(g)iii (Industrial processes), B2 (General industrial) and B8 (storage and

distribution) use, with ancillary office accommodation, new vehicular access, associated external yard areas, HGV and car parking, servicing, external lighting, hard and soft landscaping, infrastructure and all associated works following the demolition of existing buildings

Location: Weybridge Business Park, Addlestone Road, Addlestone, Surrey, KT15 2UP WeybridgeBusiness Park, Addlestone Road, Addlestone, Surrey, KT15 2UP

**Current comment: Objection** 

1. Noise receptor R06 has been identified in Table 5 of the applicant's noise impact assessment 'Addendum Note' dated 18/10/22 as having a +4.5 dBA difference (night-time) resulting from a BS4142:2014+A1:2019 assessment and is at the Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level.

At such level the noise falls to be further reduced through appropriately detailed/calculated/modelled mitigation measures.

This appears to be an omission as exactly the same difference is identified in respect of R08 and mitigation is outlined in respect of that receptor.

Further reasoning: BS4142:2014+A1:2019 outlines that a 'difference of around +5 dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context'. The standard also states that 'Rounding is to be done on the basis that a value of 0.5 is rounded up'

Runnymede's policy EE2:Environmental Protection (which accords with NPPF on noise and NPSE) states that '...all proposals resulting or being subject to external impacts above Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level will be expected to implement measures to mitigate and reduce noise impacts to a minimum'.

No further comments are made at this time until further mitigation is proposed in

respect of receptor R06 (in the addendum as one receptor, R07, differs in location between original and addendum)

## 2. Further comments:

Treatment of temporary moorings

The pre-application advice commissioned by the Local Planning Authority (LPA) 'Environoise' recommended at 2.15 that the narrowboat moorings be assessed. The addendum from the applicant's noise consultant declined to do so for their reasons set out in said addendum at 4.3. I would suggest it is a matter for the LPA to determine whether to assess the noise impact to the amenity of users of these moorings on the River Wey Navigation (noting comments asserting below threshold of nuisance).

## Fixed plant yet to be specified

The original noise impact assessment report and the addendum acknowledge fixed plant (to follow) is not specified. Where new baseline data is proposed for such an assessment, to avoid potential upward creep of background noise levels from successive development, reference should be made to baseline data in the original report and/or apply a criteria of 10dBA below background.

## Construction noise

The original report (5.1.3) proposes start and finish times for construction work that extend before and after Runnymede Borough Council's guideline hours for noisy works, namely noisy works only between 8am to 6pm Monday to Friday, and 8am to 1pm on Saturday. There should be no noisy work on Sundays or Public Holidays.

Yours sincerely

| Principal Environmental Health Officer | Runnymede Borough Council www.runnymede.gov.uk